Letter to the Editor: Sequence-specific ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N assignment of the single-stranded DNA binding protein of the bacteriophage $\phi 29$

Antonio Pineda-Lucena, Geerten W. Vuister & Cornelis W. Hilbers

NSR Center for Molecular Structure, Design and Synthesis, Laboratory of Biophysical Chemistry, University of Nijmegen, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Received 21 October 1998; Accepted 16 November 1998

Key words: CHAPS, NMR assignments, \$\$\phi29\$, ssDNA

Biological context

Single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) binding proteins (SSBs) are expressed in a wide variety of organisms (Kneale, 1992). They are involved in processes vital for the life cycle of these organisms, such as DNA replication, recombination and repair. SSBs bind to ss-DNA as monomers (bacteriophage T4 gp32, AdSSB, ϕ 29 SSB), dimers (Pf3 SSB, M13 gene-V protein), heterotrimers (human RPA) and homotetramers (Hm-stSSB, *E. coli* SSB).

The gene V of the bacteriophage $\phi 29$ encodes an SSB of 124 amino acids which stimulates viral DNA replication. $\phi 29$ SSB binds to ssDNA with relatively low affinity and moderate cooperativity, covering 3–4 nucleotides per monomer, probably as a single array of protein units along the DNA (Soengas et al., 1994). The low sequence homology with other SSBs has hampered the identification of the $\phi 29$ domain(s) and protein-protein contacts involved in the cooperative binding to ssDNA.

Methods and results

Escherichia coli PC-V3059 cells (Phabagen Collection, Utrecht, The Netherlands), transformed with the pGM26 expression vector encoding the SSB of ϕ 29, were grown at 303 K. Protein expression was induced raising the temperature to 315 K for 3 h when reaching OD₆₀₀ = 0.2. ¹⁵N and ¹³C labeling was carried out by growing in minimal medium using ¹⁵NH₄Cl and ¹³C-glucose as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources. The protein was purified using differential ammonium sulfate fractionation and further phosphocellulose and DEAE-cellulose chromatography. The yield was 40–50 mg L⁻¹ in minimal media.

SSBs have a strong tendency to aggregate, even in the absence of the ssDNA (Casas-Finet et al., 1992). Our initial studies indicated that $\phi 29$ is also not soluble at concentrations required for NMR studies. It was observed that $\phi 29$ SSB forms a gel at concentrations larger than 0.4 mM. To get insight into the forces modulating the ϕ 29 SSB self-association, the influence of pH, salt, urea and non-denaturating detergent was examined. The apparent lack of significant effects from variations in pH and salt concentration suggest minimal contributions to the aggregation processes from charge-charge interactions. In contrast, at nondenaturating concentrations of urea (0.25-0.40 M), φ29 SSB oligomers seem to break down suggesting that hydrophobic and polar residues are responsible for \$\$\phi29 SSB association. Experiments with the nondenaturating detergent CHAPS, which also disrupts hydrophobic interactions, confirmed these results. In the presence of CHAPS, the solubility of $\phi 29$ SSB increased to 0.85 mM.

Four samples, one unlabeled, one uniformly ¹⁵N labeled, and the other two (¹⁵N and ¹⁵N/¹³C) reverse labeled for the Thr and Leu residues, were prepared as 0.85 mM protein solutions in 90%/10% (v/v) H₂O/D₂O or 100% D₂O, 10 mm Na₂HPO₄, 5 mM CHAPS, pH 6.0. The following experiments were acquired to assign the backbone resonances: 3D HNCO, 3D HN(CO)CA, 3D HCACO, 3D HNCA, and 3D ¹⁵N-separated TOCSY- and NOESY-HSQC (Grzesiek et al., 1997). Pulsed field gradient versions of the experiments, with water flip-back pulses and sensitivity enhancement (Kay et al., 1994) were recorded on Varian Unity *Inova* 500 and *Inova* 750 spectrometers at 298 K.



Figure 1. Sequential assignment of the Ala60-Lys61 fragment in the ϕ 29 SSB sequence based on the connectivities found in the 3D spectra. The solid lines correlate connectivities found in the different experiments. The dashed lines connect identical peaks in different cross-sections of the same experiment.

The program NMRPipe (Delaglio et al., 1995) was used for transformation of all data whereas the program XEASY (Bartels et al., 1996) was used for the analysis. The assignment strategy was initially based upon the usage of ¹⁵N-¹H detected triple-resonance spectra in conjunction with ¹⁵N-separated NOESY spectra following the now common protocol. However, it proved impossible to transfer magnetization beyond the C^{α} nucleus, presumably because of the short T₂ resulting from higher molecular weight aggregates, conformational exchange, and an inherent low sample concentration. Sequential assignment using the C^{α} nucleus alone proved problematic because of the insufficient dispersion. Therefore, we resorted to the initially proposed procedure by Ikura et al. (1990). Using ¹⁵N-separated TOCSY, HNCA, and HCACO experiments, the intra-residual CO, ¹⁵N, and ¹H^N resonances were correlated. Comparison with the HNCO provided an additional sequential link. The assignment procedure is illustrated in Figure 1.

The assignments of the non-aromatic side chains were obtained using 3D HC(C)H- and (H)CCH-TOCSY in conjunction with a 2D constant-time ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$ HSQC (Vuister and Bax, 1992). Aromatic spin systems were assigned using a 2D ${}^{1}H$ -TOCSY acquired on a non-labeled sample in D₂O.

Extent of assignments and data deposition

112 out of 124 residues were almost fully assigned, with exception of the amide side chain resonances. Of the unassigned residues three are located at the C-terminus and eight correspond to reverse labeled (Thr, Leu) residues. For most of the latter residues, ¹H spin systems have been identified but not mapped sequentially yet.

The ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁵N chemical shifts of the singlestranded DNA binding protein of the bacteriophage ϕ 29 recorded at 298 K, pH 6.0 have been deposited at BioMagResBank (http://www.bmrb.wisc.edu) under BMRB accession number 4239.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by an European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO) fellowship and a Training and Mobility Research Grant/Marie Curie fellowship of the European Commission (contract number: FMBICT950493) to A.P.-L.

References

- Bartels, C., Xia, T.H., Billeter, M., Güntert, P. and Wüthrich, K. (1995) J. Biomol. NMR, 6, 1–10.
- Casas-Finet, J.R., Fischer, K.R. and Karpel, R.L. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 89, 1050–1054.
- Delaglio, F., Grzesiek, S., Vuister, G.W., Zhu, G., Pfeifer, J. and Bax, A. (1995) J. Biomol. NMR, 6, 277–293.
- Grzesiek, S., Bax, A., Hu, J.-H., Kaufman, J., Palmer, I., Stahl, S.J., Tjandra, N. and Wingfield, P.T. (1997) *Protein Sci.*, 6, 1248– 1263.
- Ikura, M., Kay, L.E. and Bax, A. (1990) Biochemistry, 29, 4659– 4667.
- Kay, L.E., Xu, G.-Y. and Yamakazi, T. (1994) J. Magn. Reson., A109, 129–133.
- Kneale, G.G. (1992) Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 2, 124–130.
- Murzin, A.G. (1993) EMBO J., 12, 861–867.
- Soengas, M.S., Esteban, J.A., Salas, M. and Gutierrez, C. (1994) J. Mol. Biol., 239, 213–226.
- Vuister, G.W. and Bax, A. (1992) J. Magn. Reson., 98, 428-435.